

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

2:17-CV-1885

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS	ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	\wedge		DEFENDANTS		\frown
Deborah Clark	/		Veritas Medical So	lutions LLC	` \
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name. Peter Winebrake, Esq./R	of First Listed Plaintiff Monto XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Address, and Telephone Number) A. Andrew Santillo, Esq./Ma C, 715 Twining Road, Sulf	gomery rk J. Gottesfeld, Es e 211, Dresher, PA	NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT Attorneys (If Known)	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O NDEMNATION CASES, USE TO OF LAND INVOLVED.	
19025					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box	Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a F	Party) C	PT Citizen of This State		
D 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Pa		Citizen of Another State	2	
		C	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country		0 6 0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)		Managarun barat et istelil	Click here for: Nature of	of Suit Code Descriptions.
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 195 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane	ERSONAL INJURY 55 Personal Injury - Product Liability 67 Health Care/ 77 Health Care/ 79 Phanmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 86 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability 87 Product Liability 87 Product Liability 87 Other Fraud 19 Truth in Lending 10 Other Personal 19 Property Damage 15 Product Liability 15 Product Liability 16 Product Liability 17 Product 18 Product 19 Product 19 Product 19 Product 19 Product 19 Product 19 Product 10	J 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 J 690 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1399ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 375 False Claims Act ☐ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC ☐ 3729(a)) ☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
	moved from 3 Remarke Court 3 Appel Cite the U.S. Civil Statute to Fair Labor Standards. Brief description of cause: Failure to pay overtime.	Ilate Court R nder which you are filin Act, 29 U.S.C. § 20 e compensation	Reopened Another (specify) ng (Do not cite jurisdictional state		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 23, F.R		DEMINIO \$	JURY DEMAND:	1 1
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUD	GE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE 10 (31/	17 sı	GNATURE OF ATTORNE	Plank 1.	Hollesfold	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	VOLDIT	ADDI VING IED	TUDGE	MAG NIC	OCI 30 20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17 4885

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF ANIA — DESassignment to appropriate calendar.	SIGNATION FORM to be t	used by co		ategory of the	case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 744. Sandra Lane	Norristown,	PA	19403		
Address of Defendant: 160 Casse Road	Harleysville	PA	19438		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Harleysvill	' n = 1/ 1 = - 1	90ME litional Sp	ry County)		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party wit	h any parent corporation and	any publi	cly held corporation ow	ning 10% or mo	ore of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance	with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))		Yes□] No□ \	Unknown
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?			Yes□	F.X	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		D-4- T			
Case Number: Judge		Date Ten	minated:	1/	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the fol	lowing questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered sui	t pending or within one year	previously	y terminated action in th	nis court?	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the sa	ma tennegation as a prior suit	- nonding	Yes	7,	A
action in this court?	me transaction as a prior suit	pending (or within one year previ		•
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent alre	ady in suit or any earlier num	aharad cas	Yes	- 7 •	ı,
terminated action in this court?	ady in suit of any currier num	iberoa cas	Yes		'
				•	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security a	ppeal, or pro se civil rights c	ase filed b	<u> </u>	1 M	
			Yes□	No	
CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
A. Federal Question Cases:			Diversity Jurisdiction Co		_
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Ot	her Contracts		Insurance Contrac		Contracts
2. □ FELA			Airplane Personal		
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury			Assault, Defamati Marine Personal 1		
4. Antitrust 5. Patent			Motor Vehicle Pe		ı
6. Labor-Management Relations			Other Personal In	_	
7. □ Civil Rights			Products Liability		·r ,
8. □ Habeas Corpus			Products Liability		3
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases		9. □	All other Diversit	y Cases	
10. □ Social Security Review Cases			(Please specify)		
All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) Fair Labor Standar	ds Act				
ARE	SITRATION CERTIF	ICATIO	ON		
counsel	(Check Appropriate Cates of record do hereby certify:	gory)			
□ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the b	• •	ief, the da	mages recoverable in th	is civil action ca	ase exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	is arbitration	1.0/	a:hle		
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	6	, - 6,7	9/2/-		
DATE:			<u> </u>	07/5 Z	<u> </u>
Attorney-at NOTE: A trial de novo will b		nas been c		Attorney I.D.# 2. 38. \[\int \]	30 2012
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to a	ny case now nending or wit	thin one v	ear previously termin		bis court
except as noted above.	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	 <i>j</i>			*
DATE: 10/26/17 Mark 1.	Hotte loll		3	077	52
Attorney-at	Law		A	ttorney I.D.#	

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

Deborah Clark

v	•		:		17	4000
Veritas Medical	Solution	s LLC	:	N	O.	
In accordance with the plaintiff shall complete filing the complaint and side of this form.) In designation, that defend the plaintiff and all other to which that defendant	a Case M serve a co the event lant shall, or parties,	anagement Topy on all defeat a defer with its first a Case Man	Track Designment of the contract of the contra	gnation Form in all See § 1:03 of the pla not agree with the ce, submit to the cle rack Designation Fo	civil cases at th n set forth on th plaintiff regard rk of court and	e time of e reverse ling said serve on
SELECT ONE OF TH	E FOLL	OWING CA	SE MANA	AGEMENT TRAC	KS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Ca	ases broug	ght under 28	U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255	5.	()
(b) Social Security – Ca and Human Service					of Health	
(c) Arbitration – Cases	required t	to be designa	ited for arb	oitration under Loca	l Civil Rule 53.	.2. (💢)
(d) Asbestos – Cases in exposure to asbesto		laims for per	rsonal inju	ry or property dama	ge from	()
(e) Special Managemer commonly referred the court. (See reve management cases.)	to as com	plex and tha	t need spec	cial or intense mana	gement by	()
(f) Standard Manageme	ent – Case	s that do no	t fall into a	ny one of the other	tracks.	()
10/26/17	7/	ank J. A	Staleles	Plaint	iff	
Date		Attorney-a	t-law		ney for	
215-884-2491	2	15-884-7	2492	<u></u>	25teldowin	ebrakelaw.com
Telephone		FAX Num	ber		il Address	
(Civ. 660) 10/02						
		i	3			

WINEBRAKE & SANTILLO, LLC



October 26, 2017

Via Regular Mail

Kate Barkman Clerk of Court United States District Court Eastern District of Pennsylvania 601 Market Street, Room 2609 Philadelphia, PA 19106-1797

Re: Deborah Clark v. Veritas Medical Solutions LLC

Dear Ms. Barkman:

I enclose for filing: (1) a complaint in the above-referenced matter; (2) a completed Civil Cover Sheet: (3) a completed Case Management Designation Form; (4) a completed Designation Form; (5) a \$400.00 check covering the Court's filing fee; and (5) a disk containing a PDF image of the complaint.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. Please contact my office if the Court has any questions or concerns.

Respectfully,

Mark J. Gottesfeld, Esq.

Enclosures

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DEBORAH CLARK,	: : CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiff, v.	: : NO
VERITAS MEDICAL SOLUTIONS LLC, Defendant.	: JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Dolondano	: ARBITRATION-ELIGIBLE

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Deborah Clark ("Plaintiff") brings this lawsuit against Defendant Veritas Medical Solutions LLC ("Defendant"), seeking all available relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. §201, et seq., and the Pennsylvania Minimum Wage Act ("PMWA"), 43 P.S. § 333.101.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. Jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claim is proper under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
 - 2. Jurisdiction over Plaintiff's PMWA claim is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
 - 3. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff resides in Norristown, PA (Montgomery County).
- 5. Defendant is a corporate entity headquartered in Harleysville, PA (Montgomery County).
- 6. Defendant employs individuals, including Plaintiff, engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for interstate commerce or engaged in handling, receiving, selling, or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or

produced for interstate commerce.

7. Defendant is an employer covered by the FLSA and PMWA.

FACTS

- 8. According to Defendant's website, Defendant "is a world leader in the design, production and installation of pre-engineered radiation shielded treatment facilities, and serves the radiotherapy oncology, medical imaging, industrial inspection and other industries requiring specialized radiation protection." http://www.veritas-medicalsolutions.com/radiation-shielding-about-us.html
- 9. Defendant employed Plaintiff as an administrative assistant from approximately October 2014 until approximately September 2017. In this capacity, Plaintiff primarily performed clerical duties and shipping duties.
- 10. Plaintiff generally worked an average of 47 hours per week. Plaintiff typically worked from Monday through Friday from approximately 8:00 a.m. until approximately 5:30 p.m. However, Plaintiff worked after 5:30 p.m. once a week.
 - 11. Defendant paid Plaintiff a salary of approximately \$720/week.
- 12. Defendant did not pay Plaintiff any overtime premium compensation for her hours worked over 40 per week. In this regard, Defendant acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.

COUNT I(Alleging Violations of the FLSA)

- 13. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 14. The FLSA entitles Plaintiff to overtime premium pay of "not less than one and one-half times" her regular pay rate for every hour worked over 40 per week. See 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).

15. Defendant violated the FLSA by failing to pay Plaintiff any compensation, including overtime premium compensation, for hours worked over 40 per week.

16. In violating the FLSA, Defendant acted willfully and with reckless disregard of clearly applicable FLSA provisions.

COUNT II(Alleging Violations of the PMWA)

- 17. All previous paragraphs are incorporated as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. The PMWA entitles Plaintiff to overtime premium pay of "not less than one and one-half times" her regular pay rate for every hour worked over 40 per week. See 43 P.S. § 333.104(c).
- 19. Defendant violated the PMWA by failing to pay Plaintiff any compensation, including overtime premium compensation, for hours worked over 40 per week.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks:

- A. Unpaid wages including unpaid overtime wages to the fullest extent permitted under federal and state law;
- B. Liquidated damages to the fullest extent permitted under the FLSA and prejudgment interest to the fullest extent permissible under federal and state law; and
- C. Litigation costs, expenses, and attorney's fees to the fullest extent permitted under federal and state law.

Date: October 26, 2017

Respectfully,

Peter Winebrake R. Andrew Santillo

Mark J. Gottesfeld

WINEBRAKE & SANTILLO, LLC

Case 2:17-cv-04885-WB Document 1 Filed 10/30/17 Page 8 of 8

715 Twining Road, Suite 211 Dresher, PA 19025 Phone: (215) 884-2491 mgottesfeld@winebrakelaw.com